Case 1:08-cv-05950-AKH	Document 1	Filed 06/30/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
TERRENCE MEANEY AND ELIZABETH M	EANEY	DOCKET NO.	
Pla	nintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPL	E
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEI JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Dei	fendants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.			
	NOTICE O	F ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in	the Master Co	omplaint are applicable t	o and are adopted by

the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an "\surset " if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, TERRENCE MEANEY AND ELIZABETH MEANEY, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

a citizen of New York residing at 1069 Ferngate Drive, Franklin Square, NY 11010 (OR) 2.			•	Injured Plaintiff"), is an i	1101 1
<u> </u>	uizen of New Tork lesio	•		quare, NT 11010	
J /	2. ☐ Alternatively	`	,	of Decedent	
, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of	•				•

Cas	se 1:08-cv-05950-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 06/30/2008 Page 2 of 11	
3. York residing the Injured Pl	at 1069 Ferngate Drive, Franklin Squaraintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times by TERRENCE MEANEY, and by the injuries sustained by her hu	nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New re, NY 11010-, and has the following relationship to herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff TERRENCE MEANEY. Other:	
4. New York and	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 6/1/20d New Jersey as a Police Officer at:	02 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Port Authority of	
F	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations	
Location(s) (i.	Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) out 9/12/2001 until 6/1/2002; y 12 hours per day; for	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
	y $\frac{216}{216}$ days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at	
From on or ab Approximatel	York City Medical Examiner's Office out, until, y hours per day; for y days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
Approximatel Approximatel	y nours per day; for y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
		per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		
	Please read this doc	ument carefully.	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☑ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☑ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	ightharpoons BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	<u>P.</u> C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	\square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	l —
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	-
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-05950-AKH Document 1 Filed 06/30/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	☐ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☐; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☑ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.		
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial law:	, ,		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Case 1:08-cv-05950-AKH Document 1 Filed 06/30/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
✓	Respiratory Injury: <u>Bronchitis; Chronic Rhinitis; Chronic Sinusitis; Cough; Respiratory Problems; Restrictive Lung Dysfunction; Shortness of Breath; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; and Sinus Problems Date of onset: 9/2/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: 9/2/2005</u>	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 9/2/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: GERD; Heartburn/acid reflux/GERD Date of onset: 9/2/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Other Injury: Arthritic/Joint Pain; Joints aching/pains, numbness; Sleep Apnea - no CPAP; Sleep Problems; and Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 9/2/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

aum	250 .	
	Pain and suffering	—————————————————————————————————————
		✓ Disability
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life	Medical monitoring
		✓ Other: Not yet determined
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of	
	earning capacity	
√	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of	
	retirement benefits	
\checkmark	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and	
_	rehabilitation	
√	Other:	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York June 27, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Terrence Meaney and Elizabeth

Meaney

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway

12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action. That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
June 27, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Page 11 of 11 Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUT	HERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
TERRENCE MEA	ANEY (AND WIFE, ELIZABETH MEANEY),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
A RUSSO WR	ECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
SUMMO	ONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
To Attorney(s) for	·
Service of a cop Dated, Attorney(s) for	py of the within is hereby admitted.
duly entered in the of NOTICE OF SETTLEME that an order will be presented for s judges of the within named Court, on Dated,	of which the within is a true copy settlement to the HON. one of the
that an order will be presented for s judges of the within named Court, on Dated,	of which the within is a true copy settlement to the HON. at 20 atM.

1261 **4506**